

Restructuring Across Borders

Bulgaria: corporate restructuring and insolvency procedures

Contents

Introduction	2	Enforcement of security by a secured creditor	4
Part IV Trade Act insolvency procedure (<i>Част четвърта от Търговския закон – Несъстоятелност</i>)	2	Part V Trade Act – Stabilisation procedure (<i>Част пета от Търговския закон - Производство по стабилизация на търговец</i>)	4
Informal out-of-court arrangements	3	European Insolvency Regulation	5
Voluntary solvent liquidation	3	Further information	6
Forced court liquidation on public policy grounds	4	Key contacts	7

Introduction

Bulgarian corporate insolvency law is almost entirely governed by Part IV of the Trade Act 1991 (the **Trade Act**) which entered into force in 1994. Since 1994, Part IV has been changed on numerous occasions. In 2010, amendments were made to the Trade Act (some of which took effect from 1 January 2011) to enhance the position of secured creditors and to tidy up the drafting of some of the insolvency rules. In March 2011, amendments concerning the protection of the debtor's employees in the event of insolvency were made to the Trade Act. Amendments to the Trade Act were made in 2013 which were aimed at increasing legal certainty and protecting creditors' interests by the introduction of changes to the clawback rules. The most recent (substantive) amendments were made at the end of 2016, effective as of 1 July 2017, to introduce new Part V of the Trade Act – Stabilisation procedure (*Част пета от Търговския закон - Производство по стабилизация на търговец*) applicable to companies facing financial difficulties. The amendments envisage measures to prevent the opening of insolvency proceedings of a company through reaching an agreement between the company and its creditors on how the company's debts will be restructured and repaid.

The Bulgarian corporate insolvency procedure contained in Pt IV of the Trade Act is an example of a single-entry, multiple-exit insolvency procedure.

Under Bulgarian law there are the following principal regimes for companies in financial difficulty:

- Part IV Trade Act insolvency procedure (*Част четвърта от Търговския закон – Несъстоятелност*), which arranges:
 - (i) voluntary solvent liquidation; and
 - (ii) forced court liquidation on public policy grounds;
- informal out of court arrangements;
- enforcement of security by a secured creditor; and
- Part V Trade Act – Stabilisation procedure (*Част пета от Търговския закон - Производство по стабилизация на търговец*).

Part IV Trade Act insolvency procedure (*Част четвърта от Търговския закон – Несъстоятелност*)

This is a single entry, multiple-exit insolvency procedure and is always commenced by the filing of a petition to the competent court for the commencement of the insolvency proceedings. How it will continue and end

depends on whether or not the insolvent company has enough assets to cover the initial expenses of the procedure and whether, in the course of the insolvency procedure, a restructuring plan is proposed.

The possible **exit routes** from the procedure are as follows:

- The insolvent debtor may be declared insolvent, its commercial activities terminated, all its assets attached and the winding-up procedure stayed for lack of assets to cover the initial expenses of the procedure (Art. 632, Trade Act). Where the debtor has insufficient assets to cover the initial expenses of the proceedings, the court will invite the creditors (or other petitioners for insolvency) to fund those expenses. If they do so, the procedure will continue as described below. If they do not fund the expenses, the court will stay the insolvency proceedings. Within one year of the court staying proceedings, the proceedings may be continued where the debtor or a creditor provides funding of the initial expenses. If that does not happen, the court will close the insolvency proceedings and remove the debtor from the commercial register.
- The insolvent debtor may be declared insolvent and the winding-up procedure commenced, followed by

the appointment of a receiver and the ascertaining of the creditors and the assets of the insolvent debtor.

This route is likely to continue in one of the following ways:

- a proposal to the court and approval by the court of a restructuring plan (Arts. 696-709 Trade Act) (a **formal rescue**);
- the realisation of the debtor's assets and the payment of the debtor's creditors in accordance with the priority provisions of the Trade Act (in cases where no restructuring plan has been proposed or the proposed plan has not been approved by the court (Arts. 710-739 Trade Act)) (a **bankruptcy**); or
- an out-of-court composition with all creditors (Arts. 740-741a Trade Act), which could happen in all stages of the insolvency proceedings (an **informal rescue**).

In all cases, the court will hold a final decision formally closing the insolvency proceedings.

Informal out-of-court arrangements

An insolvent debtor and some or all of its creditors may enter into an informal out-of-court arrangement but not once insolvency proceedings under Part IV of the Trade Act have been commenced. Even if such arrangements were collective (in the sense that they were for the benefit of all creditors) they would be entered into informally and would be governed by contract, rather than insolvency law. If the debtor failed to live up to its

promises to the creditors, the creditors would have only contractual remedies against the debtor. However, arrangements entered into by some (not all) of the existing creditors may be declared null and void under the provisions of the Trade Act if the arrangement is detrimental to those creditors not entering into the arrangement.

Voluntary solvent liquidation

The company's shareholders or the partnership's partners may decide to wind up the company or the

partnership on a voluntary basis. Provisions to this effect are contained in relation to each type of partnership or

company in Part I of the Trade Act. It is a condition of commencing such proceedings that the company or partnership is solvent.

If the liquidator appointed in a solvent liquidation discovers that the company is in fact insolvent, the

liquidator is required to file a petition for the commencement of formal insolvency proceedings under Part IV of the Trade Act.

Forced court liquidation on public policy grounds

There are a number of public policy grounds which may result in a forced court liquidation of a company.

For example, grounds include, among others:

(i) illegality of activities of the company or the partnership; (ii) the request of the minority shareholders or partners for winding up in exceptional circumstances;

and (iii) there being no appointed management for a certain period of time, etc. Provisions to this effect are contained in relation to each type of partnership or company in Part I of the Trade Act. In this case, if the company is solvent it will enter into a forced solvent liquidation rather than into insolvency proceedings.

Enforcement of security by a secured creditor

In Bulgaria, mortgages and possessory pledges are enforced through a court foreclosure procedure which requires the sale of the secured assets through a public auction supervised by a state or private court enforcement officer. Commercial possessory pledges and registered pledges may be enforced through an out-of-court foreclosure procedure under which the

secured creditor may sell the secured assets by private contract without the involvement of a court enforcement officer (provided certain conditions are met). These procedures are by their nature non-collective in that they aim at satisfying a single secured creditor.

Part V Trade Act – Stabilisation procedure (*Част петта от Търговския*

закон - Производство по стабилизация на търговец)

A stabilisation procedure may be opened in respect of a company, which is not insolvent, but under an imminent threat of insolvency. An imminent threat occurs where the company would, within six months of the date of filing the stabilisation petition, be unable to pay its debts or is likely to stop paying them. The stabilisation procedure is not available for banks, insurance companies and some public undertakings.

A petition to open a stabilisation procedure may be filed with the court, but only by the company, and not by a creditor. The petition must contain, inter alia, a detailed stabilisation plan with a specific proposal on the manner, time limits and conditions for repayment of the company's creditors. Participants in the stabilisation proceedings are all creditors of the company.

If the court establishes that the required grounds exist, the court will open a stabilisation procedure, appoint a trustee (to supervise the activity of the company, support the company and the creditors in clarifying the proposed stabilisation plan, etc.) and, if necessary, appoint an auditor (to report to the court and the creditors on whether the stabilisation plan corresponds to the financials and property of the company). The court may impose an attachment or other security measures, and will schedule a court hearing. The plan is then voted on by the creditors and approved or rejected by the court. If approved, the plan becomes mandatory for the company and its creditors.

European Insolvency Regulation

The EU Regulation on Insolvency Proceedings 2015 (Regulation (EU) 2015/848) (the **Recast Regulation**) applies to all proceedings opened on or after 26 June 2017. Its predecessor, the EC Regulation on Insolvency Proceedings 2000 (Regulation (EC) 1346/2000) (the **Original Regulation**) continues to apply to all proceedings opened before 26 June 2017. One of the key changes in the Recast Regulation is that it brings into scope certain pre-insolvency “rescue” proceedings and these are now listed alongside the traditional insolvency procedures in Annex A to the Recast Regulation. The Recast Regulation retains the split between main and secondary/territorial proceedings but secondary proceedings are no longer restricted to a separate list of winding up proceedings - secondary proceedings can now be any of those listed in Annex A. By contrast, the Original Regulation listed main proceedings in Annex A

and secondary proceedings (which were confined to terminal proceedings) in Annex B.

Of the above restructuring and insolvency regimes, only the Part IV Trade Act insolvency procedure (*Част четвърта от Търговския закон – Несъстоятелност*) was available as a main proceeding under the Original Regulation.

The Part IV Trade Act insolvency procedure was also available as a secondary proceeding under the Original Regulation. It remains to be seen whether the only exit route that would be available as a secondary proceeding under the Original Regulation would be bankruptcy (rather than a formal or informal rescue).

Under the Recast Regulation, only the Part IV Trade Act insolvency procedure (*Част четвърта от Търговския закон – Несъстоятелност*) is listed in Annex A.

Currently, the newly introduced Part V of the Trade Act – Stabilisation procedure (*Част петта от Търговския закон - Производство по стабилизация на търговец*) is not listed in Annex A.

Further information

For further information on Bulgarian restructuring and insolvency procedures, we would refer you to the Sweet & Maxwell book “European Cross Border Insolvency”, edited by Allen & Overy. To purchase a copy of this book, please visit sweetandmaxwell.co.uk.

This fact sheet has been prepared with the assistance of Boyanov & Co.

Allen & Overy has launched an online service for clients focusing on debt restructurings and insolvency issues.

Developed by Allen & Overy’s market-leading Restructuring group, “Restructuring Across Borders” is an easy-to-use website that provides information and guidance on all key practical aspects of restructuring and insolvency in Europe and the U.S.

To request access for your organisation, please contact your usual Allen & Overy contact, or email rab@allenovery.com.

Key contacts

If you require advice on any of the matters raised in this document, please call any of our partners or your usual contact at Allen & Overy.



Ian Field

Partner

Tel +44 20 3088 2671
ian.field@allenoverly.com



Jennifer Marshall

Partner

Tel +44 20 3088 4743
jennifer.marshall@allenoverly.com



Lucy Aconley

Senior PSL

Tel +44 20 3088 4442
lucy.aconley@allenoverly.com



Nicola Ferguson

Senior PSL

Tel +44 20 3088 4073
nicola.ferguson@allenoverly.com

Allen & Overy LLP

One Bishops Square, London E1 6AD, United Kingdom

Tel +44 20 3088 0000

Fax +44 20 3088 0088

www.allenoverly.com

Allen & Overy maintains a database of business contact details in order to develop and improve its services to its clients. The information is not traded with any external bodies or organisations. If any of your details are incorrect or you no longer wish to receive publications from Allen & Overy please email epublications@allenoverly.com.

In this document, **Allen & Overy** means Allen & Overy LLP and/or its affiliated undertakings. The term **partner** is used to refer to a member of Allen & Overy LLP or an employee or consultant with equivalent standing and qualifications or an individual with equivalent status in one of Allen & Overy LLP's affiliated undertakings.

Allen & Overy LLP or an affiliated undertaking has an office in each of: Abu Dhabi, Amsterdam, Antwerp, Bangkok, Barcelona, Beijing, Belfast, Bratislava, Brussels, Bucharest (associated office), Budapest, Casablanca, Doha, Dubai, Düsseldorf, Frankfurt, Hamburg, Hanoi, Ho Chi Minh City, Hong Kong, Istanbul, Jakarta (associated office), Johannesburg, London, Luxembourg, Madrid, Milan, Moscow, Munich, New York, Paris, Perth, Prague, Riyadh (cooperation office), Rome, São Paulo, Seoul, Shanghai, Singapore, Sydney, Tokyo, Warsaw, Washington, D.C. and Yangon.

© Allen & Overy LLP 2017. This document is for general guidance only and does not constitute definitive advice. | BK:41712630.2